Physics 12c, Problem Set 8 Solutions

May 27, 2016

[1] Hyperscaling

For $\lambda = 0$, the scaling hypothesis becomes

$$G(\Omega^p \epsilon) = \Omega G(\epsilon);$$

hence choosing $\Omega^p \epsilon = \text{constant}$, or $\Omega \sim |\epsilon|^{-1/p}$, we find

$$G(\epsilon) \sim \Omega^{-1} \sim |\epsilon|^{1/p}$$

The hyperscaling relation, together with the definition of ν implies

$$G(\epsilon) \sim (r_{\rm corr})^{-d} \sim |\epsilon|^{d\nu}$$

Comparing the two expressions for $G(\epsilon)$ we find

$$d\nu = 1/p.$$

From Problem 2c, we have $1/p = 2 - \alpha$; therefore

$$d\nu = 2 - \alpha,$$

the Josephson identity.

[2] Evaporative cooling

(a) The Maxwell distribution is of the form

$$P(v) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \left(\frac{m}{\tau}\right)^{3/2} v^2 e^{-\frac{1}{2}mv^2/\tau}.$$
 (2.1)

Check the normalization,

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} dv P(v) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} 2^{3/2} \int_{0}^{\infty} dv \left(\frac{m}{2\tau}\right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{2\tau} m v^{2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}mv^{2}/\tau}$$
$$= \frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} dx \left(x^{2} e^{-x^{2}}\right) = 1, \qquad (2.2)$$

where

$$x = \left(\frac{1}{2\tau}mv^2\right). \tag{2.3}$$

Probability that $\frac{1}{2}mv^2 > x_0$ is

$$P(x_0) = \frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{x_0}^{\infty} dx \left(x^2 e^{-x^2} \right).$$
 (2.4)

And

$$P(x_0 = 1) = 0.572407, \tag{2.5}$$

which is the fraction of particle that escapes.

(b) Number of particles with speed in (v, v + dv) is NP(v)dv, carrying energy $\frac{1}{2}mv^2NP(v)dv$. Total energy is

$$U = N \int_0^\infty dv P(v) \frac{1}{2} m v^2 = N \tau \frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty dx x^4 e^{-x^2} = \frac{3}{2} N \tau.$$
 (2.6)

Eenrgy of particles with $\frac{1}{2\tau}mv^2 > x_0$ is

$$U(x_0) = N\tau \frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{x_0}^{\infty} dx x^4 e^{-x^2} = \frac{3}{2} N\tau g(x_0), \qquad (2.7)$$

where $g(x_0)$ is fraction of energy lost.

$$g(1) = 0.849145 \tag{2.8}$$

(c) The remaining particles have energy

$$\bar{U} = (1-g)U = (1-g)\frac{3}{2}N\tau.$$
 (2.9)

$$\bar{N} = (1 - f)N$$
 (2.10)

After evaporation,

$$\bar{U} = (1-g)\frac{3}{2}N\tau = \frac{3}{2}\bar{N}\bar{\tau} = \frac{3}{2}(1-f)N\bar{\tau}.$$
(2.11)

So,

$$\bar{\tau} = \frac{1-g}{1-f}\tau = 0.3528\tau,$$
(2.12)

for $x_0 = 1$.

[3] Einstein relation on a lattice

(a)

$$\frac{\Gamma(s \to s+1)}{\Gamma(s+1 \to s)} = e^{F\Delta/\tau}.$$
(3.13)

So,

$$\frac{P_R}{P_L} = e^{F\Delta/\tau} \rightarrow$$

$$P_R = \frac{e^{F\Delta/\tau}}{1 + e^{F\Delta/\tau}}, P_L = \frac{1}{1 + e^{F\Delta/\tau}}.$$
(3.14)

And,

$$P_R - P_L = \frac{e^{F\Delta/\tau} - 1}{e^{F\Delta/\tau} + 1} = tanh\left(\frac{F\Delta}{2\tau}\right).$$
(3.15)

(b) For $\frac{F\Delta}{\tau} << 1$, $P_R - P_L \sim \frac{F\Delta}{2\tau}$. In *n* steps, the time $t = n\epsilon$, in expectation. The particle hops distance is

$$n\Delta(P_R - P_L) = n\Delta\left(\frac{F\Delta}{2\tau}\right) = n\epsilon\left(\frac{\Delta^2}{2\epsilon}\right)\frac{F}{\tau} = tD\frac{F}{\tau}.$$
(3.16)

So the drift speed is

$$\frac{distance}{time} = D\frac{F}{\tau}.$$
(3.17)

Mobility $b = \frac{v_{drift}}{F} = \frac{F}{\tau}$.

[4] Einstein relation from linear response theory

(a)

$$Z_0 = \sum_{a} e^{-E_a/\tau}$$
(4.18)

and

$$Z_{\lambda} = \sum_{a} e^{-(\epsilon_a - \lambda A_a)/\tau} \sim Z_0 + \frac{\lambda}{\tau} Z_0 < A > .$$
(4.19)

And we know that

$$\sum_{a} B_a e^{-(\epsilon_a - \lambda A_a)/\tau} \sim Z_0 < B > + \frac{\lambda}{\tau} Z_0 < AB > .$$
(4.20)

Therefore,

$$_{\lambda} \frac{Z_{0} < B > + \frac{\lambda}{\tau} Z_{0} < AB >}{Z_{0} + \frac{\lambda}{\tau} Z_{0} < A >} \sim _{0} + \frac{\lambda}{\tau} (_{0} - _{0} < B>_{0}\).$$
(4.21)

(b) Next consider $H \to H - Fx$. Find drift velocity $\langle v \rangle_F$, where $\langle v \rangle_0 = 0$.

$$\langle v \rangle_F = \frac{F}{\tau} \langle vx \rangle_0 \tag{4.22}$$

[5] The cost of erasure

(a) According to Boltzmann distribution, the probability is proportional to $e^{-E/\tau}$. Thus, we have

$$P(0) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\lambda/\tau}}$$
(5.23)

$$P(1) = \frac{e^{-\lambda/\tau}}{1 + e^{-\lambda/\tau}}$$
(5.24)

(b)

$$dW = P(0)dE_0 + P(1)dE_1 \tag{5.25}$$

$$dW = \frac{e^{-\lambda/\tau}}{1 + e^{-\lambda/\tau}} d\lambda \tag{5.26}$$

(c)

$$W = \int_0^\infty dW = \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\lambda/\tau}}{1 + e^{-\lambda/\tau}} d\lambda = \tau \ln 2$$
 (5.27)

The result agrees with the Landauer's Principle.